



## **STRATFORD CITY COUNCIL ADDENDA**

Adoption of Addenda to the Regular Council Agenda:

Motion by

**THAT the Addenda to the Regular Agenda of Council dated March 24, 2025, be added to the agenda as printed to include the following:**

### **6.0 Hearings of Deputations and Presentations:**

#### **6.1 Adjournment to a Public Meeting Under the Planning Act:**

The following comment was submitted prior to the March 7, 2025 comment deadline regarding Zone Change Application Z01-25 for subject property 963 O'Loane Avenue:

- Public Comment Z01-25 963 O'Loane Avenue - Costin

Moved by

**THAT "Public Comment Z01-25 963 O'Loane Avenue - Costin" be received for information.**

#### **6.2 Regarding item 7.2 "Chief Administrative Officer Recruitment – Selection Committee" (COU25-025)**

Following the publishing of the Agenda, Loreena McKennitt requested to speak to Council to provide information underscoring the importance of hiring for the Chief Administrative Officer position in a robust manner.

Moved by

**THAT Loreena McKennitt be heard.**

**From:** [Costin](#)  
**To:** [Alexander Burnett](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Project 693 O'Loane topic - [REDACTED]  
**Date:** March 20, 2025 8:00:34 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Alexander,

I am following up on my email regarding the O'Loane project. I did not see this email listed in city council meeting minutes for the project and want to confirm you have received it?

In addition to the comment below, I would like to add a request that the City of Stratford expands its management for garbage and cleanup to Bell Court and the property. This property might likely see increased foot traffic from students living with family at the location.

Regards,  
Costin

On Fri, Mar 7, 2025 at 16:26 Costin [REDACTED] > wrote:

Hello Alexander,

My name is Costin, and I am the owner of [REDACTED], a property directly adjacent to neighbouring project at 693 Oloane Avenue.

While I am supportive of the project, I do have one key concern for the team at Pol Quality homes and wanted to document it as a request as per the process.

I would like to request that the privacy be maintained between the two properties, more specifically by cedar trees - continuing with what we have maintained. Currently, mature trees and cedars provide a natural screen between the properties. We understand from the architectural diagrams that the larger trees will be removed and a fence will be installed while keeping the cedar tree line closer to the Bell Court roundabout. We would like to request planting cedar trees in between the 84 Bell Court walking path and the Building A fence (where the large trees will be removed). From the perspective of 84 Bell Court, this would cover 3 privacy use-cases:

- Three exterior openings that would be exposed with the large mature trees being removed (2 exterior doors and a kitchen window)
- The elevation between the 84 Bell Court property and Building A has a difference of about 2-4 feet, higher on the 84 Bell side.
- The walkway provides access to the backyard and is used for property maintenance.

We did share this question with Daryl, and we all seemed to be in agreement with the concerns and potential solutions.

We look forward to the project and are happy to provide more details if required.

Regards,

Costin